## IN THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT

(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM: NAGALAND: MEGHALAYA: MANIPUR: TRIPURA: MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)

ITANAGAR PERMANENT BENCH

Appeal From
Writ Petition (Civil)

Cont. (c) No. ... 26. (AP) 2010

Shrei K. Indu Singh

Appellant Petitioner.

-Versus-

Tabom Bam 115 & 3 others.

Respondent Opposite Party.

Counsel for the Appellant Petitioner. MR B.P. Sahu

K.h. Takun Khonar

K.h. Tomba

Y. Somortit

Y. R. Snigh

Counsel for the Respondent
Opposite Party.

Khagembk.

ice note, reports, orders or roceeding with signature	Date	Serial No.	Noting by Officer or Advocate
(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
	(3)	(2)	7-10-10

## AND

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

Shri K. Indu Singh, aged about 46 years, S/o Shri K. Iboyaima Singh, resident of Moirang Kumam Leikai, P.O./P.S. Moirang, District Bishnupur, Manipur, presently working as Urban Programme Officer, Yingkiong Division, the Department of Urban Development and Housing, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, PO. & P.S. Yingkiong, Upper Siang District (A.P).

.... PETITIONER/APPLICANT

Contd..3/-

## -Versus-

- 1. Shri Tabom Bam, IAS,
  the Chief Secretary, Government of
  Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Arunachal
  Pradesh, P.O. & P.S. Itanagar Papum Pare
  District (A.P).
- 2. Shri Tajom Taloh, Commissioner (Home).
  Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar,
  Arunachal Pradesh, P.O. & P.S. Itanagar
  Papum Paræ District (A.P).
- 3. Shri Kapa Kholie, Secretary Urban Development & Housing, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, P.O. & P.S. Itanagar Papum Pare District (A.P).
- 4. Shri Taba Tedir, Chief Engineer-cum-Director, Department of Urban Development & Housing, Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh, P.O. & P.S. Itanagar Papum Pare District (A.P).

...RESPONDENTS/CONTEMNORS

g bv Officer or Advocate	Serial No.	Date Office notes, reports, orders or proceedings with signatures
	2	3 4
		Cont. Case(c) 26 (AP)/2010
		DEFORE
		BEFORE
		HON'BLE MR JUSTICE I A ANSARI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.K.MUSAHARY
		[03-01-2011]
	,	
		Heard Mr. B.P.Sahu, learned counsel for the
		petitioner, who submits that the petitioner does not wish
* . * *		to press this contempt petition, because of the fact that
2		corrective measures, according to information given to
		the petitioner, have already been taken by the
		respondents, but liberty may be granted to the
		petitioners to approach this Court with appropriate
		application, in future, if so advised.
		Mr. R. H. Nabam, learned Senior Government
		Advocate, appearing on behalf of the State respondents
		has raised no objection to the submissions, so made, on
		behalf of the petitioner.
		In view of the above and in the interest of justice,
		this contempt petition stands closed on being not pressed
		by the petitioner with liberty granted to the petitioner to
		approach this Court with appropriate application, in
		future, if so advised.
	-	Tatare, II so advised.
		J. Z
		JUDGE JUDGE
	-	sd
	-=	